

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

and

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 9484 of 2005

Between:

Shyam Sunder, s/o. BB Sharma,
H.No. 11-83, Backside of Gunj,
Shadnagar, Mahaboobnagar District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

- 1 The Director General and Inspector General of Police, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Lakidikapool, Hyderabad.
- 2 The Superintendent of Police,
Nizamabad District.
- 3 Pochaiah, Circle Inspector of Police,
Armoor Police Station, Armoor Post,
Nizamabad District.
- 4 Janikireddy, Sub Inspector of Police,
Armoor Police Station, Armoor Post,
Nizamabad District.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of habeas corpus petition quashing or setting aside the illegal detention of Ramesh Agarwal son of Laxmichand, aged about 45 years , Pushapanjali Jewelers, Main Road, Shadnagar, Mahaboobnagar District and Ashok Kumar son of Mangalchand, aged

about 35 years, Sri Krishna Jewelers, RB Nagar, Shamshabad, Rangareddy District, by directing the respondents 3 and 4 (Pochaiiah CI of Police Amoor Police Station and Janikireddy, SI of Police Amoor Police Station) to cause the production of the detainees before this Honourable court forthwith and set them at liberty forthwith from the illegal detention of 4th respondent and pass.

Counsel for the Petitioner:SMT.Y.RAJA RAJESWARI

Counsel for the Respondents : THE ADVOCATE GENERAL

The Court made the following Oral Order: (per Sri B. Sudershan Reddy,J)

It is stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that after filing of the writ petition, it came to light that the alleged detenu was arrested in connection with a crime registered on the file of Armoor Police Station and after arrest, he was produced before the learned Judicial First Class Magistrate, Armoor. The learned Magistrate upon such production sent the alleged detenu to the judicial remand.

In such view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave of this Court to withdraw this writ petition and rightly so.

The writ petition shall accordingly stand dismissed. No costs.

B. SUDERSHAN REDDY,J

27th April, 2005

C.V. RAMULU,J

Pnb

To

1 The Director General and Inspector General of Police, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Lakidikapool, Hyderabad.

2 The Superintendent of Police,
Nizamabad District.

3 The Circle Inspector of Police,
Armoor Police Station, Armoor Post,
Nizamabad District.

4 The Sub Inspector of Police,
Armoor Police Station, Armoor Post,
Nizamabad District.

5 Two C.Cs. to the Advocate General, High Court Buildings,
Hyderabad.(OUT)

6 2 C.D. copies